

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,499 | 07/26/2005 | John Stanley Craggs | U 015524-5 | 1283 |
| • . • | 7590 02/12/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| LADAS & PAF 26 WEST 61ST | | | WIMER, MICHAEL C | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10023 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2821 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 2 MONTUS | | 02/12/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| • | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/517,499 | CRAGGS ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner . | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Michael C. Wimer | 2821 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period fo | • • | / 10 OFT TO EVENE - 110 NEW | 0.00 7.00 7.00 | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONING THE MAILING DONING THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/16 | 0 & 11/24/2006. | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | · | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) objected to by the € | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | • | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| ű. | • | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/5 & 11/24/2006. | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/517,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 1 and is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Zhinong (6112102). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 2. Claims 3-9,11,12,15,17 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Claims 10 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding the term "lateral spiral" it is uncertain what defines such a structure. For example, all outer diameter portions of the helix and connected spiral have a lateral extent. Applicant should also include such a definition of "lateral" in the specification since it appears to have been omitted with respect to the characteristics of the spiral. Note; a helical element may also be referred to as a spiral. The claims should make it clear that the structures are different by reciting clear structural features. Claim 9 still contains the language "domino pattern" objected to in the previous Office action.

:

Application/Control Number: 10/517,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1,2,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhinong (6112102) in view of Greiser (4012744).

Regarding Claims 1 and 2, Zhinong shows in Figures 12A-12E, a cylindrical helix antenna element, e.g., 204 in Fig. 12B connected to a communication device e.g., 48 in Fig. 4B) at the lower portion thereof, (e.g., 42 in Fig. 4A), and a lateral spiral 206 (Fig. 12B) connected at the opposite end of the helical element 204 (i.e., opposite the feeder end), all arranged as claimed. There appears to be no suggestion of a ground plane. Thus, Greiser is cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art, and shows a ground plane 32, backing the spiral/helical antenna 10-12. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such a ground plane taught by Greiser in the Zhinong device for the purpose of unidirectional radiation toward the zenith.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims o record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2821

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 1/23/2007